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Sylvie Gallage-Alwis and Pauline Blondet (Paris) consider the new obligations for those in the cosmetics industry - with a particular focus on the likely implications in France - concerning the use of nanotechnology. The authors report on the new requirements for notification and labelling of nanomaterials and discuss the difficulties that cosmetics companies may well encounter given the "flexible list" of authorised nanomaterials.
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Currently, there is no provision under German law allowing for class actions in the formal sense of the term. Stefan Rekitt (Munich) considers whether a relatively new lead case procedure permitted in the context of securities litigation could be applied to product liability cases.
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Adriana Tilve (Milan) takes a look at the new and clarified obligations introduced by the new Toy Safety Directive for those involved in the toy supply chain, and considers the implications for the toy industry in Italy.
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20 Reshaping the English legal landscape for personal injury and consumer group actions

John Meltzer, Dan Armstrong, and Matthew Felwick (London) discuss the recent changes proposed by the Ministry of Justice for the English legal system, and how they might impact on product liability and other personal injury claims in England and Wales.
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Siobhan Thomson (London) provides an update on the status of the remaining "light" cigarette claims in Finland, and outlines the further victory for the tobacco industry.
RECENT CASES - ITALY

23 The Italian Supreme Court rules on a supplier’s strict liability for defective products

The Italian Supreme Court has recently ruled on a supplier’s strict liability for defective products in a case brought by the parents of a child injured by a defective bicycle. Christian Di Mauro and Filomena Pacifico (Milan) discuss the key findings of the Supreme Court.
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Machteld Hiemstra (Amsterdam) discusses the recent Dutch “radish seeds” case, in which the claimant claimed damages from the manufacturer on the basis of a “general unlawful act”. The case is a reminder that if the damage sustained is not within the remit of the Product Liability Directive and there is otherwise no contractual link with the manufacturer, then without proof of negligence there can be no liability.
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27 Trigger litigation update: the uncertainty continues

Asbestos liability is a hugely important issue for companies who carry historical asbestos liabilities, for insurers, and for claimants who have (or may develop in future) serious asbestos-related diseases. Heather Gagen (London) considers the recent Court of Appeal judgment in the mesothelioma insurance “trigger” litigation.
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30 Recent Canadian decision raises spectre of liability for standards development bodies

In More v Bauer Nike Hockey Inc the trial court in British Columbia held that a standards development organisation owed a duty of care to the end user of a product that was required to meet its standards. Siobhan Thomson and Rachel Shepherd (London) outline the key issues.
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Eric Statman and Christina Taber-Kewene (New York) consider the recent case brought by more than 1,200 patients implanted with a defective wire leading to a cardiac defibrillator, who experienced unnecessary electric shocks. The decision is the first published opinion of a federal appellate court to analyse and apply the reasoning in Riegel v Medtronic.
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Lauren Colton and Andrea Trento (Baltimore) consider “personal jurisdiction” in the US, and the “stream of commerce” theory that has developed in the context of certain cases, including those in the field of product liability.
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Heather Gagen (London) outlines the new recall and mandatory reporting guidelines published by the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission. The guidelines are not binding on suppliers, but aim to give practical advice on complying with the new requirements of the second part of the Australian Consumer Law.
With this issue of European Product Liability Review, we mark the ten year anniversary of our publication. For the last decade, we have tracked the remarkable development of product liability law and product safety regulation throughout the EU and around the world.

It is therefore fitting that our feature in this issue highlights the emerging trends in the globalisation of aspects of the regulation of product safety. There is a clear focus amongst the authorities in Europe, the United States and China to promote a better level of co-operation in managing product safety regulation, particularly for products that are marketed across national borders (page 2). This theme was also evident, on a broader scale, at the 2010 International Conference of the International Consumer Product Health & Safety Organisation held in London this year (page 3). Attended by senior representatives of the product safety authorities in the United States, Canada, the European Commission, Japan, Korea, China, Australia, and a number of EU member states, it was evident from this conference that international co-operation in the enforcement of product safety is a high priority. Whilst there are many challenges in achieving such co-operation in a meaningful sense, there is no doubt about the consensus that such co-operation is an important objective.

As we reflect on the past ten years, it is also significant that in looking forward we see the likelihood of major reform in the two most important pieces of EU legislation affecting product risks on a broad scale - the Product Liability Directive and the General Product Safety Directive ("GPSD") (page 5). In the case of the Product Liability Directive, the overwhelming view of product manufacturers, and their insurers, is that, despite the controversy when the Directive was adopted some 25 years ago, the practical experience so far has been that the Directive generally works well in striking the right balance between the interests of ensuring that consumers injured by defective products have a right to receive compensation, and the interests of business and their insurers in ensuring that they are not unfairly penalised for unavoidable risks that might be associated with the products they market. The concern accompanying the process of review that has recently been initiated by the European Commission is that there is a real risk that any proposals will open up the possibility of reforms and upset the balance that currently exists, and create a liability regime that does start to operate unfairly against product manufacturers.

The process of reform of the GPSD will certainly have an important impact on all manufacturers and suppliers of consumer goods in the EU. It is certain that reforms will come for our publication to evolve into "International Product Liability Review". In many respects, readers will notice little change with our “rebranding”, since increasingly we have devoted pages of our publication to important developments in jurisdictions beyond Europe. As the experience and scope of work of the Hogan Lovells Product Liability Practice continues to expand around the world, as we assist our clients with such issues wherever they might arise, it is natural that this should become an increasing feature of the scope of our own publication. International Product Liability Review will cover European developments in the same level of detail and just as comprehensively as our readers have come to expect, but with our new title we will increasingly include content on developments in other parts of the world.

This expanded format, we hope, will ensure that our publication not only reflects the trend and growing challenges facing our clients, but also provides an even more valuable resource for our loyal and ever-expanding readership.

Finally, to celebrate ten years of this unique publication, we are hard at work preparing a special edition - a ten year retrospective of developments in product liability in Europe, as recorded in European Product Liability Review. That edition will be more than just a nostalgic look at how much has changed for product manufacturers in Europe in the past decade - it will be a valuable insight into the trends that have shaped product risks over that time, and which provide clear pointers to the way in which those changes will develop over the next ten years. Keep your eye out for this publication over the coming weeks.

So, it is with some reminiscing that we say goodbye to "European Product Liability Review". But the evolution is inevitable, as it makes way for an improved publication that will be equally unique, and will continue to grow and evolve so that it remains a distinctive publication reporting on the ever-changing challenges for international product manufacturers.
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