

# Coronavirus in the mining sector and its effect on contract performance: A checklist

3 April 2020

As mining projects around the globe are hit by the extreme situation caused by the coronavirus, many mining businesses are likely to face important practical difficulties. This comes as a second impact for many: with international trade and supply chains for commodities already impacted by the progressive shutdown of manufacturing in many jurisdictions, the mining sector has already felt a first shock-wave from the impact of the coronavirus on the international commodities trade.

As more jurisdictions become affected by COVID-19, including jurisdictions where there are active mining operations, a key question for mining businesses is whether the coronavirus outbreak can excuse them or their counterparts from performing their contractual obligations, such as by triggering a force majeure clause. The international nature of the mining industry with an extensive cross-border supply chain means that companies will often have operations or transactions in multiple jurisdictions some of which have a common law legal system and others of which have a civil law system.

Civil law and common law systems address the issue of force majeure in similar but different ways, and this is therefore an important starting point to the analysis, whether in a civil law jurisdiction, (such as Brazil, Chile, Mongolia, or OHADA jurisdictions in Africa including Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal) or a common law jurisdiction (such as Canada, Australia, Singapore, the U.S. and the UK) or, in the case of South Africa, drawing on elements of both.

Although mechanisms in both legal systems excuse performance of obligations in some circumstances, the hurdles are high and it is advisable that you seek advice at an early stage. As a preliminary step, here's our checklist on what to do in the immediate future:

## **1. Check your contract's governing law**

**Common law countries:** For common law governed contracts, the actual words used in the contract are what determine whether there may be a valid excuse for non-performance.

**Civil law countries:** For civil law governed contracts, there are usually force majeure-type provisions included in the law, for example in OHADA law, which apply where the contract doesn't address the issue. However, if specific contractual provisions are included, these will habitually take priority.

## **2. Find the force majeure clause or other useful contractual provisions**

Check carefully whether there is a force majeure clause in your contract: it could be buried somewhere unexpected or refer to "exceptions", "unforeseen events" or "acts of God" rather than force majeure.

There may also be other provisions which can provide protection when performing a contract becomes difficult. One possibility is a hardship clause, which might apply when an unforeseeable event makes the performance of the contract excessively burdensome, and can lead to an adjustment of the commercial terms. The exact wording of the clause is important to determine its scope.

Other useful clauses could include material adverse change, price adjustment, liability limitations and exclusions, extensions of time, variations or changes in law (for example, laws prohibiting employees from working, or transportation controls, which slow down the supply chain).

If there is no force majeure clause, under common law parties will not be able to rely on force majeure, although the common law doctrine of frustration may apply to discharge a contract if it has become illegal or impossible to perform or performance has become radically different. However, in civil law jurisdictions you may be able to fall back on the force majeure protections in the law. Such laws, such as OHADA law, usually include three criteria: that (i) there is an impediment that is (ii) beyond the parties' will and control and which (iii) the parties could not have reasonably foreseen. A doctrine of hardship is gradually being introduced in certain jurisdictions also, allowing a rebalancing of the contract where performance is still possible, albeit significantly more burdensome for one of the parties.

## **3. Identify the events covered by the provisions**

Once you have determined the clause or law to apply, you will need to establish the events it covers. Look closely at how events are defined in the force majeure, hardship or other clauses, and decide whether coronavirus fits the definition.

For example, in a force majeure clause, epidemics and pandemics – even if specifically covered by the clause – generally aren't defined precisely. However, coronavirus's classification as a global pandemic is likely to leave room for little doubt. In addition, some governments have also officially certified coronavirus as a force majeure event in their jurisdiction, which may support a party's force majeure claim.

If neither epidemics nor pandemics are mentioned, the clause could still be triggered where it covers labour and supply shortages (which are caused by coronavirus) or broadly defines events as exceptional, beyond one party's control, unavoidable and not attributable to the other party.

Given the exceptional nature of the current situation, coronavirus will generally fulfil such contractual or legal definition, although for very recent contracts – entered into after extreme measures were already put in place in certain parts of the world – there could be debate about whether such events were foreseeable.

## **4. Notify the contractual excuse**

To rely on contractual provisions that excuse performance of obligations, the event that you rely on must be the only one affecting contractual performance (unless clearly stated otherwise). In other words, "but for" coronavirus, a party must have been willing and able to perform.

If the link between the event and the non-performance is clear, you need to understand the effects of notifying a contractual excuse to your counterparty. These will differ from contract to contract. Once a force majeure event is notified, for example, the effects will vary depending on how long the performance is affected. The contract may allow for the right to suspend, seek an extension of time, or for either party to terminate it.

## **5. Comply strictly with contractual notice requirements**

Whether you decide to trigger a force majeure or hardship clause, you must ask yourself:

- Is an initial notice of the force majeure / hardship event needed?
- Must you supply supporting details and evidence of the event and its effects?
- By when and in what form should notices (initial and subsequent) and supporting documents be served?

You must also respond quickly to notices received from your counterparty, as failure to respond to a notice within stipulated time limits may constitute acceptance of the counterparty's force majeure or hardship claim.

## **6. Document evidence which supports your claim and highlights your mitigation efforts**

Properly record and store evidence of all communications with your counterparties about the disruption and its effects, including order or service cancellations.

Under common law, you must mitigate the effects of a force majeure event, so document reasonable steps taken to do so. Although there is no specific doctrine of mitigation across all civil law jurisdictions, OHADA law has expressly incorporated the mitigation principle, specifying that a party must have taken all reasonable steps to limit its loss or preserve its gains, and elsewhere the general principles of mitigation will be implied in other ways, such as good faith or damages calculations, and in all cases.

## **7. Prepare for the ending of the obstructive event**

If force majeure has been claimed, agree with your counterparty a date when obligations will resume after the event and its effects have ended, especially if the contract is unclear.

The supply chain will need time and resources to resume operations or clear backlogs and the party claiming force majeure won't want to be in breach once the event is over. There may, in limited cases, be room to explore (or a contractual right to request) a further extension of time for performance. However, you are more likely to get relief during the remobilization period by highlighting to your counterparty that the event is over but the preventing effects are still being felt.

As the effects of coronavirus are felt at different times, force majeure notices could continue to be issued after it is downgraded from a pandemic. Is the location of the force majeure event mentioned? For example, would your clause be triggered if there were still an epidemic at the place of delivery but not the place of manufacture?

## **8. Learn lessons for future disruptions**

Assess your supply chain contracts so you know which counterparties are likely to be affected by coronavirus (if they haven't already) and by other future obstructive events. Engage with them early to plan how to manage these situations.

Do force majeure clauses in your existing and future contracts clearly and expressly allocate force majeure risk? Do you have a hardship clause in your contract and have you considered its effects? Depending on your relationships with counterparties, think about amendments to prepare for future outbreaks.

As the above checklist shows, there are simple steps that mining businesses and their legal counsel can take to check whether the coronavirus outbreak can excuse them or their counterparts from performing their contractual obligations. When taking these steps, it is important to be aware of the law applicable to the contract, as there will be specificities depending on whether the contract is governed by civil or common law. It is also useful to bear in mind the alternatives to a force majeure claim: while force majeure excuses performance, a hardship provision for instance might enable parties to adapt performance where this remains possible, rather than simply ceasing performance entirely.

## Contacts



**Thomas Kendra**  
Partner, Paris  
T +33 1 53 67 18 26  
[thomas.kendra@hoganlovells.com](mailto:thomas.kendra@hoganlovells.com)



**Kevin Pietersen**  
Partner, Johannesburg  
T +27 11 052 6102  
[kevin.pietersen@hoganlovells.com](mailto:kevin.pietersen@hoganlovells.com)



**Angus Rankin**  
Partner, London  
T +44 20 7296 5030  
[angus.rankin@hoganlovells.com](mailto:angus.rankin@hoganlovells.com)



**Nathan Searle**  
Partner, London  
T +44 20 7296 5233  
[nathan.searle@hoganlovells.com](mailto:nathan.searle@hoganlovells.com)

## [www.hoganlovells.com](http://www.hoganlovells.com)

"Hogan Lovells" or the "firm" is an international legal practice that includes Hogan Lovells International LLP, Hogan Lovells US LLP and their affiliated businesses.

The word "partner" is used to describe a partner or member of Hogan Lovells International LLP, Hogan Lovells US LLP or any of their affiliated entities or any employee or consultant with equivalent standing. Certain individuals, who are designated as partners, but who are not members of Hogan Lovells International LLP, do not hold qualifications equivalent to members. For more information about Hogan Lovells, the partners and their qualifications, see [www.hoganlovells.com](http://www.hoganlovells.com).

Where case studies are included, results achieved do not guarantee similar outcomes for other clients. Attorney advertising. Images of people may feature current or former lawyers and employees at Hogan Lovells or models not connected with the firm.

© Hogan Lovells 2020. All rights reserved.