

A decorative graphic in the top right corner of the page, featuring a white, angular shape that overlaps a portion of a globe. The globe shows a map of the world with various colors representing continents and oceans.

A new target: The Antitrust Division focuses on criminal antitrust violations in public procurement

1 April 2019

The Antitrust Division (Division) has recently prioritized the investigation and prosecution of criminal antitrust violations involving public procurement. Last week, the Division [announced](#) that two companies have agreed to plead guilty as part of an ongoing investigation into bid rigging and fraud on Department of Defense (DoD) fuel supply contracts for U.S. military bases in South Korea. The Division simultaneously unsealed an indictment charging seven individuals with violating criminal antitrust laws as well as committing fraud against the federal government. To date, five companies have pleaded guilty as part of the Division's probe into these DoD fuel supply contracts.

At a press conference announcing the plea agreements and indictment, Makan Delrahim – the Assistant Attorney General of the Division – explained that "[o]ne of the Antitrust Division's top priorities is to protect the US government and tax payers." Delrahim also said that the fuel supply investigation may spur investigations into other types of military supply around the world and that the DoD has "brought other matters to" the attention of the Department of Justice (DOJ). The top criminal antitrust official at the Division, Deputy Assistant Attorney General for Criminal Enforcement Richard Powers, echoed Delrahim's statements at the American Bar Association (ABA) white collar conference where he identified public procurement cases as a priority for the Division's criminal program.

Penalties for violating criminal antitrust laws in the United States are steep. Companies face maximum fines of US\$100 million. In addition to criminal fines, the DOJ can pile on treble damages under both Section 4a of the Clayton Act and the False Claims Act (FCA) when the government is the victim of criminal antitrust conduct. For example, one of the companies that pleaded guilty in the fuel supply investigation – GS Caltex – has agreed to pay a total of US\$104.17 million to the government in order to resolve the matter: US\$46.67 million in criminal fines, US\$14.88 million to settle the civil antitrust claims, and US\$42.62 million to settle the FCA claims.

For individuals, the penalties are also severe. In addition to fines of up to US\$1 million, individuals face imprisonment of up to 10 years. Foreign individuals are not protected from the consequences of indictment. The Division has previously extradited indicted non-U.S. citizens from countries such as Canada and Germany. The current administration also appears willing to

consider extraditing individuals. In the recent fuel supply case, while Delrahim did not go into detail about the extradition process, he did say that the DOJ is exploring "every option for bringing these [indicted] individuals to the US" and has been in communication with the Korean Ministry of Justice "about access" to the individuals. According to Delrahim, the DOJ anticipates "full cooperation" with the South Korean authorities.

Given the Division's recent focus on public procurement and the high penalties at stake, companies that supply goods and services to the government need to enhance their compliance efforts. Companies should take a fresh look at their compliance programs to determine whether additional measures – such as compliance training for their employees – are necessary given the Division's heightened scrutiny. Companies may want to consider retaining outside counsel to evaluate the sufficiency of their compliance programs. If a company suspects that it has violated criminal U.S. antitrust laws, it should consult with experienced antitrust counsel to assess its best course of action.

Contacts



Kathryn (Katie) Hellings
Partner, Washington, D.C.
T +1 202 637 5483
kathryn.hellings@hoganlovells.com



Andrew J. Lee
Partner, Washington, D.C.
T +1 202 637 5612
andrew.j.lee@hoganlovells.com

Susan Musser
Senior Associate, Washington, D.C.
T +1 202 637 5457
susan.musser@hoganlovells.com

www.hoganlovells.com

"Hogan Lovells" or the "firm" is an international legal practice that includes Hogan Lovells International LLP, Hogan Lovells US LLP and their affiliated businesses. The word "partner" is used to describe a partner or member of Hogan Lovells International LLP, Hogan Lovells US LLP or any of their affiliated entities or any employee or consultant with equivalent standing. Certain individuals, who are designated as partners, but who are not members of Hogan Lovells International LLP, do not hold qualifications equivalent to members. For more information about Hogan Lovells, the partners and their qualifications, see www.hoganlovells.com.

Where case studies are included, results achieved do not guarantee similar outcomes for other clients. Attorney advertising. Images of people may feature current or former lawyers and employees at Hogan Lovells or models not connected with the firm.

© Hogan Lovells 2019. All rights reserved.