

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

FEB 19 2013

RECEIVED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

FILED

FEB 19 2013

CLERK

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL DEVELOPMENT
ASSOCIATION'S CLEAN AIR PROJECT

13-1035

Petitioner,

v.

Docket No.13-_____

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Respondent.

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Section 307(b) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7607(b)(1), the National Environmental Development Association's Clean Air Project ("NEDA/CAP"), hereby petitions the Court for review of a December 21, 2012 Policy Guidance document issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, entitled "Applicability of the Summit Decision to EPA Title V and NSR Source Determinations," attached hereto.

Respectfully submitted,



Leslie Sue Ritts

RITTS LAW GROUP, PLLC
620 Fort Williams Parkway

The Carriage House
Alexandria, VA 22304
703/823-2292
LSRitts@rittslawgroup.com
Counsel for Petitioner
National Environmental Development
Association's Clean Air Project

Dated: February 19, 2013



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711

DEC 21 2012

OFFICE OF
AIR QUALITY PLANNING
AND STANDARDS

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Applicability of the Summit Decision to EPA Title V and NSR Source Determinations

FROM: Stephen D. Page, Director
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

TO: Regional Air Division Directors, Regions 1-10

The purpose of this memo is to explain the applicability of the decision by the 6th Circuit Court of Appeals to vacate and remand a title V applicability determination made by the EPA for Summit Petroleum's oil and gas operations in Michigan.

In Summit, the EPA had decided that, under our existing source determination regulations, the oil and gas sweetening plant and related wells owned and operated by Summit Petroleum were a single stationary source for purposes of the Clean Air Act title V operating permit program. As a single source, the aggregate emissions from these operations were high enough to trigger the requirement to obtain a title V operating permit. Summit Petroleum then challenged that determination in the 6th Circuit, and the Court ultimately issued a decision that vacated and reversed our determination. *Summit Petroleum Corp. v. EPA et al.*, Consolidated Case Nos. 09-4348 and 10-4572 (6th Cir. Aug. 7, 2012). The Court's majority decision concluded that the term "adjacent", as used in our regulations, was related only to physical proximity and, thus, found that our determination was improper, because we had considered the functional interrelatedness of the wells and sweetening plant in determining that they were "adjacent." The EPA sought rehearing of the Court's decision, but that request was denied.

The EPA has a longstanding practice of interpreting "adjacent" to include a consideration of the functional interrelatedness of two emission units, in addition to the physical distance between them, in making source determinations in both the title V and new source review (NSR) programs. Because of the Court's decision, however, the EPA may no longer consider interrelatedness in determining adjacency when making source determination decisions in its title V or NSR permitting decisions in areas under the jurisdiction of the 6th Circuit, i.e., Michigan, Ohio, Tennessee and Kentucky. The EPA is still assessing how to implement this decision in its permitting actions in the 6th Circuit.

Outside the 6th Circuit, at this time, the EPA does not intend to change its longstanding practice of considering interrelatedness in the EPA permitting actions in other jurisdictions. In permitting actions occurring outside of the 6th Circuit, the EPA will continue to make source determinations on a case-by-case basis using the three factor test in the NSR and title V regulations at 40 CFR 52.21(b)(6) and 71.2, respectively, and consistent with more than three decades of the EPA applicability determinations and guidance letters regarding application of those criteria, which have considered both proximity and

interrelatedness in determining whether emission units are adjacent. The three factor test considers emission-producing activities to constitute a single source if they are:

- under common control of the same person (or persons under common control);
- located on one or more contiguous or adjacent properties; and
- in a single major industrial grouping (the same two-digit SIC code).

The EPA is assessing what additional actions may be necessary to respond to the Court's decision.

Please share this information with potential permit applicants, as well as the state and local agencies in your Region. For any questions regarding this guidance, please contact Raj Rao at rao.raj@epa.gov.

cc: Regional Air Program Managers

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT
FEB 19 2013
RECEIVED

ORIGINAL
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT
FILED FEB 19 2013
CLERK

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

)
National Environmental Development Association's
)
Clean Air Project
)
Petitioners
)
)
v.
)
)
United States Environmental Protection Agency
)
Respondent
)

13-1035
No. 13-_____

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Circuit Rule 26.1, the undersigned, counsel for
Proposed Intervenor National Environmental Development Association's
Clean Air Regulatory Project ("NEDA/CAP"), certifies as follows:

NEDA/CAP is a nonprofit trade association, as defined under
Circuit Rule 26.1(b), whose member companies represent a broad cross-
section of American industry. NEDA/CAP addresses issues of interest to its
members relating to the development and implementation of requirements
under federal and state clean air programs. NEDA/CAP does not have any

outstanding securities in the hands of the public, nor does NEDA/CAP have a publicly owned parent, subsidiary, or affiliate.

Respectfully Submitted,



Leslie Sue Ritts

THE RITTS LAW GROUP, PLLC

620 Fort Williams Parkway

Alexandria, VA 22304

703.823.2292

lsritts@rittslawgroup.com

Dated: February 19, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 19th day of February 2013, a copy of the foregoing **Petition for Review** and **Petitioners' Rule 26.1 Statement** was served on each of the following by United States Priority Mail:

Acting Administrator Robert Perciasepe
Office of the Administrator
United States Environmental Protection Agency
Ariel Rios Building
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Mail Code 1101A
Washington, D.C. 20460

Brenda Mallory, Acting General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
United States Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Mail Code 2310A
Washington, D.C. 20460

Eric Holder
Attorney General for the United States
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Room 2141
Washington, D.C. 20530



Leslie Sue Ritts, Counsel for
**NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL
DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION'S
CLEAN AIR PROJECT**